PATENT Docket No.: 085742-0010

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Patent Number: 7,514,100

Benjamin OSHLACK

Application No.: 10/660,349

Filed: September 11, 2003

CONTROLLED RELEASE HYDROCODONE FORMULATIONS For:

PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.181

Mail Stop Petition Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Under 37 CFR 1.181, a petition may be taken to the Director to invoke the supervisory authority of the Director in appropriate circumstances. This Petition Under 37 CFR 1.181 requests reconsideration of the patent term adjustment calculation for U.S. Patent No. 7,514,100, filed as Application No. 10/660,349 on September 11, 2003 and issued on April 7, 2009, and/or requests resetting of the date of the PTA determination for this patent so that a request for recalculation would be timely.

The U.S. Patent & Trademark Office ("PTO") permits a Request for Recalculation of Patent Term Adjustment in View of Wyeth (PTO/SB/131) for patents issued before March 2, 2010, that were not issued more than 180 days before the Request was filed. The instant patent does not fall within this time period restriction. Thus, Applicant respectfully requests that the Director exercise his authority and have the PTO

recalculate the patent term adjustment ("PTA") for this patent. The arbitrary date restrictions for PTA recalculation of patents falling victim to the PTO's old, pre-Wyeth calculation deprives the applicant of valuable patent term through no fault of its own. To meet the PTO's arbitrary date restriction, the applicant would have had to request recalculation based on Wyeth on or before October 4, 2009 (180 days after issuance), 95 days before the Federal Circuit decided Wyeth. Further, the PTO Request for Recalculation of Patent Term Adjustment in View of Wyeth did not come into existence until after Wyeth. The PTO arbitrarily decided that patents falling into the date range of the instant patent would be foreclosed from recouping the PTA they are entitled to. Respectfully, the Director should exercise his authority to remedy this injustice.

37 CFR 1.181(f) s tates that any petition not filed within two months of the mailing date of the action or notice from which relief is requested *may* be dismissed as untimely. Respectfully, it would be inequitable to consider this petition untimely. The decision in *Wyeth* constituted significant change in the PTO's practice sufficient to toll the deadline for filing a petition under 1.181. Courts, including the Federal Circuit, recognize the doctrine of equitable tolling, which provides that a statute of limitations should be tolled in certain circumstances in the interests of equity. See, e.g., *Sedarevic v. Adanced Med. Optics, Inc.*, 532 F.3d 1352 (Fed. Cir. 2008). The PTO should apply this judicial doctrine to the instant case. The equitable solution is to recognize the correct PTA. Denying this petition as untimely does not serve any equitable purpose – there is no balance to be weighed.

Summary of Patent Term Adjustment under 35 U.S.C. § 154

35 U.S.C. § 154 guarantees that the PTO will provide at least one notification under section 132 or a notice of allowance not later than 14 months after the date on which the application was filed, and subsequent notifications within four months of an applicant's response. PTO delays beyond these times are referred to as "A" delays.

35 U.S.C. § 154 further guarantees a no more than 3-year application pendancy. This does not include time consumed by continued examination requested by the applicant. PTO delays beyond this 3-year period are referred to as "B" delays. PTO delays do not include "overlap" under 35 U.S.C. § 154(b)(2)(A). Applicant delay is deducted from the PTO delay to arrive at the PTA.

PTO Practice Prior to Wyeth

Prior to the Federal Circuit's holding in *Wyeth v. Kappos*, 591 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2010), the PTO interpreted "no overlap" under 35 U.S.C. § 154 to mean that any A delay would necessarily result in B delay. Thus, an applicant was entitled to a PTA equal to the greater of the two types of delay, but not both.

The Correct Calculation under Wyeth

In *Wyeth*, the Federal Circuit stated that: "[n]o overlap happens unless the violations occur at the same time." *Id.* at 1369-70. According to *Wyeth*, an applicant can be entitled to both A and B delays because no B delay occurs before the 3-year pendancy date. Thus, there can be no overlap for A delays occurring before the 3-year pendancy date.

The PTO's Old Calculation Deprived the Applicant of 336 Days of PTA

The PTO calculated 668 days of PTA for the instant '100 patent. As illustrated below, the correct calculation under *Wyeth* is 1004 days. A copy of the prosecution history, downloaded from the PTO's PAIR database is attached as Exhibit A.

(a) A Delays

- (i) Applicant filed a Response after Non-Final Action on January 14, 2005. The PTO mailed an *Ex Parte Quayle* Action on May 31, 2005. The PTO Action was 17 days beyond 4 months from the May 31, 2005 Response, resulting in a 17 day A delay.
- (ii) Applicant filed a Response after *Ex Parte Quayle* Action on June 27, 2005. The PTO's next Office Action was mailed on October 4, 2007. This Action was 707 days beyond 4 months from the June 27, 2005 Response, resulting in a 707 day A delay.

(b) B Delay

The '349 application was filed on September 11, 2003. A Request for Continued Examination (RCE) was filed on September 15, 2008. This resulted in a B delay of 735 days as follows. Three years from September 11, 2003 is September 11, 2006. The interval between September 11, 2006 and the September 15, 2008 RCE filing date is 735 days.

(c) Overlap

The overlap is the period of A delay extending beyond the 3-year pendency date into the B delay period. Here, this is the period from September 6, 2006 to October 4, 2007 and is an overlap of 388 days.

(d) Non-overlapped PTO Delay

The non-overlap delay is 17 + 707 + 735 - 338 = 1,071 days.

(e) Applicant Delays

- (i) Applicant delay was 61 days for the period in excess of three months for the Response filed March 5, 2008 following the October 4, 2007 Non-Final Rejection;
- (ii) Applicant delayed 3 days for the period in excess of three months from the RCE filed September 15, 2008 following the June 12, 2008 Final Rejection, and
- (iii) Applicant delayed 3 days for the period in excess of three months for the Issue Fee payment received February 20, 2009 following the November 17, 2008 Notice of Allowance.
 - (iv) The total applicant delay was 61 + 3 + 3 = 67 days.

PTA under Wyeth

The correct PTA is 1,071 - 67 = 1,004 days.

Conclusion and Request for Supervisory Authority

As detailed above, the '100 patent should have been granted 1,004 days of PTA.

The PTO, using the old, incorrect calculation method, granted 668 days of PTA. Thus,

the applicant was deprived of 336 days of patent term adjustment through no fault of its

own. In the interest of equity, applicant requests that the Director exercise his authority

to grant a recalculation of the PTA in the instant case.

Please charge any shortage in fees due in connection with the filing of this paper,

including extension of time fees, to Deposit Account 500417 and please credit any excess

fees to such deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,

McDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP

Paul M. Zagar

Registration No. 52,392

600 13th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005-3096

Phone: 212.547.5400 PMZ:MWE

Facsimile: 202.756.8087 **Date: July 21, 2010**

Please recognize our Customer No. 20277 as our correspondence address.

6

EXHIBIT A

Transaction History

Home | Site Index | Search | FAQ | Glossary | Guides | Contacts | eBusiness | eBiz Alerts | News | Help

Patent eBusiness = :

Portal Hom | Patent: | Trademark | Other | Sign-Off Authenticated Session

H Electronic Filing E Patent Application Information (PAIR) Patent Ownership Fees E Supplemental Resources & Support **Patent Information** Patent Guidance and General Info ① Codes, Rules & Manuals **Employee & Office Directories** H Resources & Public Notices **Patent Searches** Patent Official Gazette E Search Patents & Applications Search Biological Sequences E Copies, Products & Services Other Copyrights Trademarks Policy & Law Reports

XML	Name
Download	Order Certified Application As Filed Order Certified File Wrapper View Order Lis
10/660,349	CONTROLLED RELEASE HYDROCODONE FORMULATIONS
Select New Case	Application Transaction Image File Patent Term Continuity Fees Published Address & Displation Data History Wrapper Adjustments Data Documents Attorney/Agent Reference
ransactio	n History
Date	Transaction Description
04-07-2009	Recordation of Patent Grant Mailed
3-18-2009	Issue Notification Mailed
4-07-2009	Patent Issue Date Used in PTA Calculation
2-26-2009	Dispatch to FDC
2-25-2009	Application Is Considered Ready for Issue
2-20-2009	Issue Fee Payment Verified
02-20-2009	Issue Fee Payment Received
11-17-2008	Mail Examiner's Amendment
11-17-2008	Mail Notice of Allowance
11-14-2008	Document Verification
11-14-2008	Notice of Allowance Data Verification Completed
11-10-2008	Examiner's Amendment Communication
09-22-2008	Information Disclosure Statement considered
9-22-2008	Reference capture on IDS
9-22-2008	Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) Filed
0-22-2008	Date Forwarded to Examiner
0-22-2008	Date Forwarded to Examiner
9-15-2008	Request for Continued Examination (RCE)
10-22-2008	Disposal for a RCE / CPA / R129
10-04-2008	Case Docketed to Examiner in GAU
09-22-2008	Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) Filed
09-15-2008	Workflow - Request for RCE - Begin
06-12-2008	Mail Final Rejection (PTOL - 326)
06-09-2008	Final Rejection
11-13-2007	Information Disclosure Statement considered
04-05-2008	Date Forwarded to Examiner
03-05-2008	Response after Non-Final Action
03-05-2008	Request for Extension of Time - Granted
01-08-2008	Case Docketed to Examiner in GAU
11-13-2007	Reference capture on IDS
11-13-2007	Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) Filed
11-13-2007	Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) Filed
10-04-2007	Mail Non-Final Rejection
0-01-2007	Non-Final Rejection
01-14-2005	Information Disclosure Statement considered
9-11-2003	Information Disclosure Statement considered
04-19-2007	Case Docketed to Examiner in GAU
06-27-2005	Oath or Declaration Filed (Including Supplemental)
04-17-2007	Date Forwarded to Examiner
6-27-2005	Response after Ex Parte Quayle Action
05-02-2006	Case Docketed to Examiner in GAU
08-28-2005	Case Docketed to Examiner in GAU
05-31-2005	Mail Ex Parte Quayle Action (PTOL - 326)
5-26-2005	Ex Parte Quayle Action
05-18-2005	Case Docketed to Examiner in GAU
05-17-2005	Paralegal TD Not accepted
09-11-2003	Preliminary Amendment
04-05-2005	Case Docketed to Examiner in GAU
	Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) Filed
01-14-2005 01-14-2005	

02-11-2005	Date Forwarded to Examiner
01-14-2005	Response after Non-Final Action
01-14-2005	Workflow incoming amendment IFW
10-15-2004	Mail Non-Final Rejection
10-01-2004	Non-Final Rejection
07-08-2004	Case Docketed to Examiner in GAU
07-08-2004	IFW TSS Processing by Tech Center Complete
09-11-2003	Affidavit(s) (Rule 131 or 132) or Exhibit(s) Received
09-11-2003	Reference capture on IDS
09-11-2003	Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) Filed
09-11-2003	Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) Filed
12-05-2003	Application Is Now Complete
12-04-2003	Application Return from OIPE
12-05-2003	Application Is Now Complete
12-04-2003	Application Return TO OIPE
12-04-2003	Application Dispatched from OIPE
12-04-2003	Application Is Now Complete
11-24-2003	Cleared by OIPE CSR
10-20-2003	IFW Scan & PACR Auto Security Review
09-11-2003	Initial Exam Team nn

If you need help:

- Call the Patent Electronic Business Center at (866) 217-9197 (toll free) or e-mail <u>EBC@uspto.gov</u> for specific questions about Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR).
 Send general questions about USPTO programs to the <u>USPTO Contact Center (UCC)</u>.
 If you experience technical difficulties or problems with this application, please report them via e-mail to <u>Electronic Business Support</u> or call 1 800-786-9199.

You can suggest USPTO webpages or material you would like featured on this section by E-mail to the <u>webmaster@uspto.gov</u>. While we cannot promise to accommodate all requests, your suggestions will be considered and may lead to other improvements on the website.